

Trinity County Resource Conservation District

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1a –The dates identified are incorrect. Identify the most recent twelve month period for which the land manager has accurate OHV Opportunity and visitation data.
- #1ii – The total number of routes available appears to be incorrect.
- #2 – Applicant to verify response.
- #3 – Applicant to verify response.
- #4 – Applicant to verify response.
- #5 – Applicant to verify response.
- #7c – The narrative does not support “Barriers and/or signing...” and “Education programs, maps and/or brochures”.
- #8a – The narrative does not support “Free literature is provided....” Or “Bulletin boards, signs, kiosks...”.
- #8b –The narrative does not support “5 to 19 times per year”. Only onsite education efforts are eligible for credit.
- #9 - Applicant to verify response.
- #14 – The narrative does not support “Has engaged in collaborative processes with agencies...” and “Has established an OHV Commission or stakeholder group...” Also, identify the management plan.

Ground Operations – S. Fork Mgmt. Unit	G11-07-02-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – Restoration Technician I – Identify how this position is project related. • Staff – Restoration Technician II – Identify how this position is project related. • Equipment Use Expense – mileage – Identify how this is project related. • Others – Per Diem – Identify how this is project related. • Others – Insurance –This is an Indirect Cost. • Others – Postage – This is an Indirect Cost. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – The narrative does not support “Loss of OHV Opportunity” selection. • #3 – The narrative does not address “Maintaining multi use” and “Providing varied levels of riding difficulty”. • #4 – Identify the purpose of the meetings and the stakeholders. • #5 – The narrative does not support how the partnerships with the Watershed Research and Training Center, the Dept. of Conservation, and the Trinity River Watershed council are project related. • #6 – “Providing bridges instead of wet crossings where appropriate” is not related to this project. 	